THE TEAKETTLE EXPERIMENT:

Fire and Thinning Effects on Mixed-Conifer Ecosystems
Introduction

Like much of the western United States, Sierra Nevada forests have been significantly changed by a century of logging and fire suppression.

Prescribed fire and mechanical thinning are widely used for restoring forest health, but how do their ecological effects differ?

The Teakettle Experiment was initiated in response to this question. The experiment is an interdisciplinary collaboration of more than a dozen scientists, working in coordination to investigate the effects of fire and thinning treatments.

This presentation gives a brief overview of the Teakettle Experiment, presents results from the ecosystem component studies, and finishes with a summary of key ecological findings potentially useful for forest managers.
Legacy of Fire Suppression

A century of fire suppression has fundamentally changed Sierra Nevada forests:

- Historically, mixed conifer’s fire return interval was 12-15 years for low-intensity underburns but is now estimated to be over 600 years.

- Tree density has dramatically increased.

- Species composition is dominated by thin bark, fire-sensitive fir and incense cedar.

- Historically fire was a significant influence on plant establishment, growth, and mortality.

What drives these processes now?
History of Logging

Originally covering about 60% of pre-settlement America, old-growth forest has declined to less than 3% in the modern era.

In the Sierra Nevada, historic logging varied, but in general was concentrated on accessible sites, and often harvested the largest pines. Shade, thicker litter layers and the lack of fire favored fir and cedar regeneration.

Distribution of old growth forest
Restoration Issues & Concerns

Fire suppression and logging has produced three roadblocks to forest restoration:

1) Small and intermediate size trees can ‘ladder’ surface or ground burns into catastrophic crown fires.

2) Litter accumulation can produce hot, long-duration temperatures that can kill large, old trees.

3) Much of fire-suppression regeneration is small, and of marginal economic value.
Mission & Goals

Because of these restoration ‘roadblocks’ some forests may require mechanical thinning before prescribed fire is applied.

The Teakettle Experiment was designed to examine how thinning and fire differ in their effects on mixed-conifer ecosystems.

Specifically, the experiment grew out of a key question raised in the Sierra Nevada Ecosystem Project: Critical Findings Section, 1996, pp 4-5

“Although silvicultural treatments can mimic the effects of fire on structural patterns of woody vegetation, virtually no data exist on the ability to mimic ecological functions of natural fire. . .”
Teakettle Experimental Forest:

Designated an Experiment Forest in 1938, Teakettle is a 3000 acre reserve of old-growth located 50 miles east of Fresno, California between 6200-8300 ft in elevation. The Experimental Forest is the immediate watershed surrounding Teakettle creek.

Approximately two-thirds of Teakettle is mixed conifer, which contains white fir (Abies concolor), black oak (Quercus kelloggi), sugar pine (Pinus lambertina), incense-cedar (Calocedrus decurrens), Jeffrey pine (Pinus jeffreyi), and red fir (Abies magnifica).

The last widespread fire was in 1865.
Importance of Old Growth

Why conduct a restoration experiment in old growth when most of the Sierra Nevada is younger, managed forest?

• Old growth is the baseline for historic forest conditions indicating how ecosystem processes respond to disturbance.

• Without thinning, old-growth structure is less variable, allowing plots to have similar initial conditions. This means most post-treatment differences between plots will be due to the fire and thinning treatments rather than pre-existing plot differences.

• Old-growth has fairly stable carbon and nutrient pools.

• Old forest conditions are often what restoration is striving toward.
Mixed-conifer forest has 4 types of patches. The experimental plots needed to be large enough to have similar patch proportions in each plot. The smallest plot that contained a representative subsample of the range of mixed-conifer conditions was 4 ha (10 acres), a 200 m x 200 m square.
Sampling Design

There are a total of 18 plots (6 treatment types x 3 replicates of each treatment).

Each plot has a grid of sample points where all data was collected before and after the treatments. As a result, data collected by different studies can be compared to assess forest response across ecological disciplines.

Study Timeline

The plots were thinned in late 2000 and early 2001. Slash was left to dry for one year. For containment and smoke regulation purposes, the plots were burned in fall (2001) rather than the historical fire season of mid-July to early September.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Pretreatment data (2-3 yrs for all studies)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Treatments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Post-treatment data (2-3 yrs for all studies)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Monitoring (5-20 yrs)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Experimental Design

The experiment uses a full-factorial design crossing 3 levels of thinning with 2 levels of prescribed burning:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO THIN</th>
<th>NO BURN</th>
<th>BURN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (Burn only)</td>
<td>BURN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thin only</td>
<td>Burn &amp; thin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Based upon California Spotted Owl or CASPO guidelines (Verner et al. 1992). All trees > 10” and < 30” are removed.

** Based upon a common pre-CASPO thinning, leaving 8 large trees/acre approximating a 70’ X 70’ spacing.

Plots were marked by the Sierra National Forest, checked for prescription compliance and tractor yarded to temporary landings.
Stand Visualization of Treatments

**Treatments:** Stand Visualization Simulations (SVS) using actual tree locations, species and diameter for all trees > 2” dbh.

10 acre plot before and after CASPO thinning (10”< remove trees < 30”). Note: clumping pattern of tree distribution.

10 acre plot before and after shelterwood thinning (leave trees < 10” and 8 evenly spaced large tree/ac). Note: more regular pattern of tree distribution.
Conceptual Model

A conceptual model of forest ecosystem response to disturbance was used to guide the research project and identify which ecosystem components to study:

Fire and thinning should alter three fundamental ecosystem properties: soils, microclimate and forest structure. Changes in these properties would affect key ecosystem components, ultimately altering forest productivity and diversity.
Focus and Scale

Though there are many other large fire experiments around the nation, including several in California, the Teakettle Experiment is focused upon basic ecological processes (i.e., seral development, H$_2$O, temperature, light, nutrients and trophic structure), the building blocks within any ecosystem. The focus is to assess how fuel reduction affects forest succession, productivity, diversity & wildlife food webs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Scale</th>
<th>Function / Process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td>PLUMAS / LASSEN STUDY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(up to 500,000 ac)</td>
<td>Large-scale fire movement &amp; behavior, spotted owls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watershed</td>
<td>KINGS RIVER PROJECT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3000-5000 ac)</td>
<td>Water flow &amp; nutrients; forest carnivores; birds; uneven-aged mgt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stand</td>
<td>FIRE SURROGATE STUDY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100 ac)</td>
<td>Management options; Small mammals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecosystem</td>
<td>TEAKETTLE EXPERIMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10 ac)</td>
<td>Soils; microclimate; respiration; succession; food webs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
List of Studies

The following studies are the core components of the Teakettle Experiment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STUDY</th>
<th>PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR</th>
<th>INSTITUTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microclimate, Soil Respiration</td>
<td>Jiquan Chen, Siyan Ma &amp; Suong Rhu</td>
<td>Univ. of Toledo, OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil Nutrients</td>
<td>Heather Erickson</td>
<td>Univ. Metropolitan, San Juan, PR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decomposition</td>
<td>Marty Jurgenson</td>
<td>Michigan Technology University, Houghton, MI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire History</td>
<td>Michael Barbour, Rob Fiegener,</td>
<td>Univ. of California, Davis, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree Regeneration &amp; Soil Moisture</td>
<td>Andrew Gray &amp; Harold Zald</td>
<td>Pacific Northwest, Forest Inventory Analysis, Corvallis, OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canopy Invertebrates</td>
<td>Tim Schowalter</td>
<td>Louisiana State Univ, Baton Rouge, LA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree Pest &amp; Pathogens</td>
<td>David Rizzo, Tom Smith, Tricia Maloney</td>
<td>Univ. of California, Davis, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flying Squirrels, Chipmunks &amp; Truffles</td>
<td>Marc Meyer, Doug Kelt &amp; Malcolm North</td>
<td>Univ. of California, Davis, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil &amp; CWD Invertebrates</td>
<td>Jim Marra &amp; Bob Edmonds</td>
<td>Univ. of Washington, Seattle, WA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lichen Growth &amp; Dispersal</td>
<td>Tom Rambo</td>
<td>Univ. of California, Davis, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen Dynamics, Frankia Diversity &amp; Response to Fire</td>
<td>Brian Oakley, Jerry Franklin &amp; Malcolm North</td>
<td>Univ. of Washington, Seattle, WA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understory Herb &amp; Shrub Diversity</td>
<td>Rebecca Wayman &amp; Malcolm North</td>
<td>Univ. of California, Davis, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Climate Change &amp; Tree Demography</td>
<td>Matthew Hurteau &amp; Malcolm North</td>
<td>Univ. of California, Davis, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycorrhizal Diversity/Water Movement using Stable Isotopes</td>
<td>Tom Bruns, Antonio Izzo, Agneta Plamboeack, Todd Dawson</td>
<td>Univ. of California, Berkeley, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed Dispersal</td>
<td>Ruth Kern</td>
<td>Calif. State Univ. Fresno, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree/Shrub Mortality &amp; Growth, Truffles, Cones, Coarse Woody Debris, and Diameter Growth</td>
<td>Malcolm North, Jim Innes</td>
<td>Pacific Southwest Research, Davis, CA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Focal Questions

Although Teakettle’s research studies are focused on examining fire and thinning effects on each particular ecosystem component, all of the projects are directed toward providing pieces of the ecological puzzle, centered around the question: *How does thinning differ from fire in its effect on ecosystem function and succession?*

1) What are the primary influences on ecosystem function in mixed-conifer forests?

2) In the absence of fire what drives tree regeneration, growth, and mortality?

3) What are some of the key ecosystem functions of large trees and pieces of coarse woody debris?

4) How does thinning affect fire intensity and extent?

5) How does thinning differ from fire in its effect on ecosystem function and succession?

What follows is divided into 3 sections. The first section discusses ecological conditions *pre-* and *post-*treatments for the major component studies. This is followed by a section with some results that may be useful to managers. The above focal questions will be revisited in the final summary section.
Component Study: Vegetation

**Pre-Treatment**

- Southern Sierra mixed-conifer is highly patchy and gap edges are important for the establishment of shade-intolerant pine.

- Tree growth is significantly affected by soil depth and the bedrock water table. Once seedlings access deep, perennial water, diameter growth accelerates.

- Large logs are not moisture reservoirs or seedling nurseries, and excluding cedar, decay quickly even in the absence of fire.

- Herbs have low cover (< 3%), high diversity (> 125 species), and are associated with moist, shaded conditions.

- Shrub cover (16%) is highly patchy. Manzanita is common on thin, droughty soils, and snowberry on deep, moist sites.
Component Study: Vegetation

Prescribed fire had little effect on Teakettle’s largest tree, a 110”dbh cedar

- Thinning *without burning* significantly increases litter and slash cover, severely reducing herb diversity and cover.
- *Burning* increases herb diversity and cover, and reduces competing shrub cover.
- The fall burn had little impact on plants or litter in unthinned plots because without additional slash, fire extent and intensity was very limited.
- Fire consumed snags and logs on steeper slopes. Surviving logs and snags were highly clustered in low intensity or unburned micro-sites.
- In our cool fall fire, large tree mortality was low and usually only resulted from burning logs against the tree bole, rather than from litter mounds.

Large white fir killed by heat from log burning against its bole
Component Study: Tree Regeneration

**Pre-Treatment**

- Tree regeneration was dominated by shade-tolerant white fir and incense-cedar, although sugar pine is well-distributed in a range of sizes.
- Regeneration abundance by patch type: closed-canopy > open gaps > shrub patches.
- White fir, incense-cedar, and sugar pine prefer very similar light and moisture conditions.

**Post-Treatment**

- Pine regeneration is most abundant and has greatest growth in the burn/shelterwood.
- Higher-intensity treatments provide a greater range of microsite conditions.
- Residual large overstory fir and cedar are significant sources of natural recruitment pushing stand composition back toward a fire-suppressed composition unless pine is planted or prescribed fire is re-applied.

% change in seedling density (< 5” tall) after treatments
Component Study: Soil Moisture

**Pre-Treatment**

- Although soils are at field capacity (~25%) in mid-May after snow melt, by early July the surface layer (0-6”) is at < 6%
- Isotope signatures indicate overstory trees access deep (> 3 ft) soil moisture, while shrubs and saplings compete for shallow (< 18”) water.
- Higher soil moisture is associated with deep litter and high canopy cover.

**Post-Treatment**

- Soil moisture increased in thinned plots, with the greatest increase in shelterwoods, possibly due to less tree evapotranspiration and deeper soil litter.
- With thinning, many ecosystem processes seem to be ‘released’ from a moisture constraint. Litter and slash than become a significant limit on some functions.
Component Study: Soil

**Pre-Treatment**

Although there is high nitrogen in forest litter, most nitrogen is consumed by soil microbes and unavailable to trees and plants.

In contrast, ceanothus creates hot spots of available nitrogen. These hot spots have faster decomposition rates and more soil invertebrates, but do not appear to increase tree growth.

Blackened soils in gaps can reach temperatures lethal to plants (> 120°F).

Established ceanothus patches persist as hot spots of available nitrogen, even after burning.

Yarding on dry soils caused little compaction, however after fall rains, compaction was severe.

Skid trails substantially reduce fire extent and intensity.

**Post-Treatment**

Fire only burned the foreground trees due to the presence of a skid trail.
Component Study: Microclimate

**Pre-Treatment**

- Maximum monthly mean air temperature = 61°F (August), minimum monthly mean = 33°F (February) and annual mean = 45°F.
- Below-canopy solar radiation is much higher than in most forests due to hot, cloudless summers and canopy gaps common in mixed-conifer.
- Soil surface temperature can vary by more than 50°F between high canopy cover and open gaps.

**Post-Treatment**

- Microclimate variability increases in plots with moderate intensity treatments (understory thin and burn).
- In high severity treatments, microclimate becomes more spatially homogeneous but has higher diurnal fluctuations.
Component Study: Respiration & Decomposition

Pre-Treatment

- Decomposition rates are strongly affected by moisture.
- Litter buildup around tree boles is due to early snow melt, lower moisture and slowed decay.
- When soils are wet, soil respiration increases with temperature (typical). But once soils dry, respiration decreases as temperature increases.
- Soil respiration varies by patch type with the highest rates in ceanothus, possibly due to higher nitrogen availability & increased microbial activity.

Through-fall sampler for measuring atmospheric inputs

Post-Treatment

- Decomposition rates increase in thinned plots, but decrease in drier, burn plots.
- Soil respiration tended to increase with thinning and decrease with burning.
- Years with deep snowpacks (El Nino) had much higher soil respiration rates.
- In the long-term, mixed-conifer sequesters carbon with understory burning, but if climate change increases winter precipitation, mixed conifer is likely to become a greater carbon source than sink.
Component Study: **Pest & Pathogen**

**Pre-Treatment**
Pathogen mortality is highly localized rather than a large-scale process.

- Although mortality is concentrated on high density pockets, pests do not act as a ‘correcting agent’ for fire suppression. The composition of dead trees is not higher for fir and cedar and large tree mortality is significantly greater than expected. Current fire-suppressed old growth may have fewer large trees than historic conditions.

- In the last 20 years, gaps are increasing in frequency and size possibly due to pest/pathogen mortality centered on high density, drought stressed tree clumps.

**Post-Treatment**

- Initial observations suggest that plots with lower tree densities have less beetle activity and damage.

- Root diseases may be increasing in thinned plots where stumps remain. Stumps serve as entry points for wind-dispersing spores of soil-borne pathogens.
Component Study: Small Mammals & Food Web

**Pre-Treatment**

- Northern flying squirrels are highly associated with riparian habitat (almost always within 500 ft. of streams), where truffles are more abundant and available longer into the summer.

- Northern flying squirrels, the principal prey of the California spotted owl, have lower density compared to other western forests.

- Truffles are a key summer food source for mixed-conifer small mammals.

- Flying squirrels prefer snags over live trees and larger-diameter and taller structures for nesting.

- The lichen, *bryoria*, an important winter food source and nest material for flying squirrels, is strongly associated with red fir (also in the riparian corridor).
Component Study: Small Mammals & Food Web

- Treatment type (fire vs. thinning) does not affect truffle abundance.

- However, treatment severity does, with high intensity (shelterwood and burn) significantly reducing short-term truffle abundance.

- In shelterwoods, lichen growth and dispersal (to colonized new trees) is reduced.

- Fire and thinning treatments do not change chipmunk abundance or distribution.

- Fire and thinning treatments seem to have no effect on flying squirrel abundance or home range size, possibly because few riparian trees were thinned or burned.
Component Study: Fire & El Niño Effects

- Some trees are > 400 years old, but most (>70%) originated after 1870.
- Historic fire events are associated with dry La Niña years, though those fires were not significantly larger in extent.
- Tree response to fire and wet El Niño years varies by species:
  a) Jeffrey pine, sugar pine and red fir establish in wet El Niño years.
  b) Sugar pine establishes after fire.
  c) Most white fir and incense cedar established a decade after fire stopped.

# of trees by species established between 1760-1920. Dashed line is the Palmer Drought Severity Index, (plotted on 2nd Y axis) with + and - values correlated with wet and dry years, respectively. Red arrows are fire events.
Some Key Results for Management: Canopy Cover, Temperature & Soil Moisture

- Tree canopy cover is vital for moderating the extreme surface temperatures that occur on dry, cloudless summer days.
- Gaps have greater soil moisture than shaded tree clusters, possibly because of deeper winter snow pack and less root mining of soil water.
- Surface temperatures were not significantly increased by understory CASPO thinning and soil moisture increased.
- Overstory shelterwood thinning dramatically increased temperatures but soil moisture also increased possibly reducing stress on understory herbs and seedling regeneration.

Surface temp. differences between open (3%) & high (76%) canopy cover: X axis is hour of day and Y axis is Julian day of the year (6/10 – 8/20)
Some Key Results for Management:

**Diameter Distribution**

- Some thinning prescriptions determine the numbers of desired trees in each diameter class from the reverse J shaped curved (middle graph).
- This distribution, however, is more typical of forests where density-dependent competition for light drives stand mortality.
- If episodic fire and El Niño events are strong influences on mortality and recruitment, a desired diameter distribution might be a diminishing ‘sine’ curve shape (top graph).
- Reconstruction of 1865 stand conditions suggests that with an active fire regime mixed conifer is almost 50% shade-intolerant pine with as few as 30 trees per acre. Diameter distribution follows a diminishing sine curve with few small trees and more large trees than under modern conditions.
Some Key Results for Management: Soil Depth & Bedrock Water

Within the Teakettle experimental area, in the southern Sierra Nevada:

- The underlying geomorphic template has a strong effect on above ground forest distribution and productivity.
- Gaps are often in areas where the granitic bedrock is close to the surface (< 3 ft.).
- Deep soils support trees clusters and the highest basal area.
- Regardless of fire or thinning, stem density and diameter distributions will not be uniform in these conditions.
- In these forests, gaps should be maintained rather than planted as they are an important feature providing light for shade-intolerant pine.
Some Key Results for Management:

**Small Mammal Habitat**

Riparian areas have more truffles and edible lichen and have most of the flying squirrels. Low intensity burning seemed to have little effect on these areas, but the effects of yarding and additional fuel, if riparian areas were thinned, might be detrimental.

**Change in Mortality Pattern**

Present mortality patterns are out of synch with historical conditions: Drought and pest/pathogens select for high-density groups and kill all trees (large and small), where as historically fire mortality was widespread and primarily selected small, thin barked trees.

The current mortality pattern is creating a stronger gap pattern and reducing the number of large trees.
Conclusions:  
Focal Questions Revisited

Below are answers to the five focal questions presented earlier. These questions helped guide and influence the component studies, data collection, and final study integration:

1) What are the primarily influences on ecosystem function in mixed-conifer forests?

Water: largely determined by snowpack depth, soil depth and % organic matter. Temperature is also a strong, but secondary influence. Soil nitrogen has little effect. After thinning, slash and litter can retard diversity and some ecosystem processes.

2) In the absence of fire what drives tree regeneration and mortality?

Canopy cover, climate and pests. Regeneration is influenced by overstory canopy cover (> 50% for firs and cedar, and pines on edge of gaps) and El Niño (pine and red fir). Mortality is driven by drought and pests. Water stress is produced by high stem densities from fire suppression and periodic La Niña events. This drought stress predisposes trees, and pest/pathogens (particularly beetles) are the final agent. At Teakettle, wind was not significant.
Conclusions: Focal Questions Revisited (cont)

3) What are some of the key functions of large trees and pieces of coarse woody debris?

Large logs do not act at moisture reservoirs, nutrient sources, or seedling nurseries and are fairly ephemeral (< 60 years). Large snags are used by flying squirrels and cavity-nesting birds. Fire consumes most logs, although some large snags are not completely consumed or are able to entirely escape burning.

4) How does thinning affect fire intensity and extent?

Off-season (outside July-Sept) prescribed fire may have reduced intensity and extent without thinning slash. In unthinned plots, the prescribed fire did not carry, and where it did burn there was little consumption. Thinning increased fire intensity, but the extent was patchy in places of concentrated skid trails.
Conclusions:  
Focal Questions Revisited (cont)  
5) How does thinning differ from fire in its effect on ecosystem function and succession?

• Thinning alone, even when designed to mimic fire (i.e., CASPO with no burn), appears to stall some processes such as nutrient cycling, plant succession, and decomposition and respiration, possibly because of the increase in slash and litter.

• Overstory thinning, like a crown fire, has the potential to significantly change microclimate and forest structure, further reducing the number of large trees already thinned by pest mortality.

• Moderate thinning may beneficially increase off-season fire intensity.

• Fire was the most important process for restoring ecosystem ‘health’. Our research suggests thinning prescriptions should be designed to serve fire by 1) separating crown from surface fuels; 2) distributing slash to increase the extent of the surface burn; and 3) removing large fuels such as logs from leave tree boles.
Further Information: Publications

Forest Science 51(3) issue devoted to Teakettle Research:

• North, M., and J. Chen. Introduction to the Special Issue on Sierran Mixed-Conifer Research. pp. 185-186.
Further Information:

Other Teakettle Publications
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